

DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 08

16 August 2018 at 14:00pm
Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ]	UK Power Reserve
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSEN
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
Observers	
Edda Dirks [ED]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Andrew Enzor	Northern Powergrid
Chris Ong	UK Power Networks
Kathryn Evans	SP Energy Networks
Simon Yeo	WPD

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 26 July 2018 and agreed that there needed to be a minor change made to paragraph 5.1 to reflect “DCUSA **Charging Objectives**”. An updated, finalised version of the minutes can be found as attachment 1.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review DCP 313 draft consultation document and ensure that the legal text is fit for purpose for all three solution options.

3. Review of DCP 313 draft second consultation

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation and updated the draft during the meeting.
- 3.2 The Working Group questioned the wording within paragraph 1.6 of the consultation as it seemed to suggest that the refined Options (Option 1A and Option 2B) had been consulted on in the first consultation that was published in February 2018. As this is not the case the wording was removed.
- 3.3 The Working Group reviewed paragraphs 3.9 and 3.13 as the Proposer of the CP suggested that the results from the TNEI Impact Assessment should be included in the sections. However, Working Group members highlighted that this section is supposed to be discussing the background of the DCP and should not include results of the impact assessment being conducted by TNEI as this was developed at a later stage of the change process and will be included in the consultation in a later section. The Secretariat agreed to liaise with the Proposer on the suggestion to determine if it was necessary.

ACTION 08/01: ElectraLink

- 3.4 One Working Group member also suggested that the tone of the document needed to be reviewed to ensure that there was no biased language that favours one option over another and so would not influence industry in their views. It was agreed that the document would be reviewed, updated where necessary and the document sent back to the Secretariat by close of play on Monday 20 August.

ACTION 08/02: ADZ

- 3.5 In paragraph 3.12 the consultation document discusses the paper that was submitted to the DCUSA Panel in April 2018, which requested that the Working Group could amend the intent of the CP. The Secretariat agreed to include a footnote to the paper if it is publicly available.

ACTION 08/03: ElectraLink

- 3.6 Another link will also be included in paragraph 4.16 to provide a hyperlink to the ENA DNO guidance note and a copy circulated to the Working Group to ensure that it is relevant for inclusion within the consultation document.

ACTION 08/04: ElectraLink/WG Members

- 3.7 On review of paragraph 4.21 of the consultation, the Working Group discussed how the wording alludes to there being a divergence between how each of the DNOs would treat mixed sites when it came to billing. There was debate on how this should be worded, and the chair agreed to update the paragraph by referring to the responses of the RFI conducted earlier on in the progress of this CP.

ACTION 08/05: ElectraLink

- 3.8 The Working Group agreed that the table included in the consultation, which sets out the eligibility criteria for Option 1 and Option 1A, required updating to reflect the eligibility of intermittent and non-intermittent generation on the same site. The chair agreed to update this to ensure that it is factually correct.

ACTION 08/06: ElectraLink

- 3.9 The Working Group discussed paragraph 4.40 and agreed that further review of DCUSA Schedule 17 and 18 legal text was needed to ensure that the information contained within the consultation document was accurate. The Chair agreed to conduct the review and update the consultation document where necessary.

ACTION 08/07: ElectraLink

- 3.10 In the Relevant Objectives section of the consultation, it was highlighted that the document should include Working Group views on which of the DCUSA Charging Objectives would be better facilitated rather than including the Proposers view. This would also need to be updated to include views on the new options that have been developed since the CP was raised. Working Group members agreed to circulate their feedback to the Secretariat for inclusion within the consultation.

ACTION 08/08: All WG members

4. Review of DCP 313 Legal Text

- 4.1 The Chair confirmed that a review of the legal text should be conducted at the next Working Group meeting so that Working Group members can complete actions and finalise the consultation document.

5. Work Plan and Next Steps

5.1 The Working Group reviewed the work plan and an updated version can be found as attachment 2. The Working agreed the following next steps:

- The Secretariat to obtain updates on the outstanding actions from DCP 313 Working Group meeting 07;
- A question to be included within the consultation document to determine whether mixed sites should form part of this CP;
- The Secretariat to update the consultation document and circulate to Working Group members alongside the minutes;
- The Secretariat to review the TNEI proposal and determine what the timescales are for the Working Group to be able to submit clarifying questions and comments; and
- The next Working Group meeting to be held on either 07, 10 or 11 September dependent on the outcome of the doodle poll.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 The Chair highlighted that the Working Group would need to consider the timescales set out in TNEI's proposal so that they are able to submit any clarifying questions or comments in time for TNEI to respond. The Secretariat agreed to review the proposal and inform the Working Group of the deadline.

ACTION 08/09: ElectraLink

6.2 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting – TBD

7.1 The Working Group agreed that a doodle poll is required to be able to organise the next Working Group meeting due to there being a number of apologies being received for this meeting.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 313 Meeting 07 finalised minutes
- Attachment 2 – DCP 313 Updated Work Plan

New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
07/01	AE agreed to forward details of what he believes the non-binary solution would not address in regards to mixed sites	Andrew Enzor	Ongoing – ElectraLink to chase before the next meeting
07/02	AE agreed to review the proposed legal text to ensure that the drafting in Option 2B is doing what it is intended to do	Andrew Enzor	Ongoing – ElectraLink to chase before the next meeting
08/01	ElectraLink to liaise with AE regarding updates on actions 07/01 and 07/02	ElectraLink	
08/02	ADZ to review the consultation document and ensure that the tone is appropriate and update where necessary	Alessandra De Zottis	
08/03	ElectraLink to include a footnote in the consultation to include a link to the DCUSA Panel paper where the Working Group had requested a change to the intent of the CP	ElectraLink	
08/04	ElectraLink to include a link to ENA's DNO Guidance document within the consultation document and the Working Group to ensure that it is appropriate	ElectraLink/Working Group members	
08/05	The Chair to update paragraph 4.21 of the consultation document to ensure that the RFI responses regarding mixed site billing were correct.	ElectraLink	
08/06	The Chair to update the table to ensure that Option 1 and Option 1A were factually correct when referring to the eligibility of mixed sites.	ElectraLink	
08/07	The Chair to review DCUSA Schedule 17 and 18 and ensure that the information contained in paragraph 4.40 was accurate and update the consultation document where necessary.	ElectraLink	

08/08	Working Group members to circulate their view on which DCUSA Charging Objectives Option 1, Option 1A and Option 2B better facilitates	All WG members	
08/09	ElectraLink to review the TNEI proposal and determine the timescales for submitting clarifying questions and comments	ElectraLink	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to review the DCP 313 legal text and Schedules 17 and 18 and provide the Working Group with all instances of where F Factor will be changed to PDG Factor to ensure the Working Group are comfortable with the name change.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
01/02	The Proposer agreed to circulate a table for DNOs to include their impact assessment results in so that it can be included in the consultation	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
01/03	ElectraLink to write out to DNOs who are not members of the Working Group to request that they complete the impact assessment on the EDCM	ElectraLink	Action Closed
01/04	DNOs to complete an impact assessment on the EDCM for both options of the proposed legal text changes.	DNOs	Action Closed
01/05	ElectraLink to draft the first consultation document and include the suggested questions as well as the generic consultation questions	ElectraLink	Action Closed
01/06	ElectraLink to update the work plan and submit to the DCUSA Panel for approval	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/01	The Secretariat agreed to obtain further details on the P2/7 work from the Distribution Code administrator and see if there is a proposed implementation date for their change.	ElectraLink	Action Closed

02/02	AE to provide a small paragraph on the effects that the CP may have on the location of generation sites as the super red credits associated will depend on the network and any reinforcements.	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/03	The Secretariat to introduce a new line in the table for option 2 of the proposed legal text for “other non-intermittent generations” and include an average for each of the PDG Factors	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/04	The Secretariat to include the definition of non-intermittent generation from P2/6 into the proposed legal text	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/05	AE to provide a copy of the ETR 130 to the Secretariat	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/06	AE to provide an updated Npg spreadsheet without random data that can be used to populate the other DNO responses	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/07	DNOs to complete impact assessments for both options of the legal text by close of play on Wednesday 31 st January	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed
02/08	The Secretariat will ensure the DNO that is not Working Group member completes the impact assessments before the deadline	ElectraLink	Action Closed
03/01	The Secretariat to extend the RFI request for impact assessments to be completed until close of play on 09 February 2018	ElectraLink	Action Closed
03/02	The Proposer to provide some text for inclusion in the consultation document to clarify the changes to intermittent generation credits	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
03/03	The Secretariat to include the impact assessment results in the consultation document after the deadline has been completed	ElectraLink	Action Closed
03/04	The Secretariat to review Schedules 17 and 18 and include the correct table numbering in the proposed legal text	ElectraLink	Action Closed
04/01	The Secretariat to request acceptance from the DCUSA Panel on the suggested amendment to the intent of the Change Proposal	ElectraLink	Action Closed
04/02	DNO members of the Working Group to sense check the generators response to question 2 of the consultation with the P2/6 requirement.	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed

04/03	The Secretariat to request further clarity on the response to question 3 from the generator	ElectraLink	Action Closed
04/05	The Proposer to include a clause within the proposed legal text to include transparency regarding credits being assigned to a dominant technology type on a mixed generation site	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
04/04	The DNO Working Group members to obtain a status update on the P2/7 work and to check their understanding of the ETR130 in terms of whether intermittent and non-intermittent generation will be being retained.	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed
05/01	The Chair to draft a strawman of the legal text for Option 2B and circulate to the Working Group by close of play on 15 th May	ElectraLink	Action Closed
05/02	The Secretariat to request for monies from the DCUSA Panel to be able to instruct TNEI to complete an impact assessment for Option 2B	ElectraLink	Action Closed – superseded by 06/02
06/01	Working Group members to determine whether the installed non-intermittent generation should be a percentage of the export capacity and be non-binary and whether this would affect the impact assessment completed at an earlier stage of the Change Process.	All WG Members	Action Closed
06/02	ElectraLink to request an updated quote from TNEI and submit a further paper to the DCUSA Panel for their determination.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
07/03	The Chair to draft legal text for both options to include the non-binary solution	ElectraLink	Action Closed
07/04	The Secretariat to draft a consultation document and circulate to Working Group members by close of play on 03 August 2018	ElectraLink	Action Closed